

Molecular Allergology Pocket Guide

Published by the European Academy of Allergy and Clinical Immunology



Molecular Allergology Pocket Guide

Published by the European Academy of Allergy and Clinical Immunology 2024

Editors

Christiane Hilger Alma Villaseñor Karin Hoffmann-Sommergruber Alexandra Santos Leticia de la Vecillas Stephanie Dramburg Simon Blank Annette Kuehn Mattia Giovannini Riccardo Castagnoli



Contents

07	Introc	lucti	ion I	Not	e

- 08 BO1 Tree Pollen Allergy
- 11 BO2 Grass Pollen Allergy
- 14 BO3 Weed Pollen Allergy
- 18 BO4 House Dust Mite Allergy
- 21 BO5 Cockroach Allergy
- 23 BO6 Furry Animals
- 26 BO7 Allergy to Moulds
- 28 **BO8** Microbial allergens in atopic dermatitis and beyond
- 30 BO9 Edible insects
- 32 **B10** Cow's milk allergy
- 34 B11 Egg allergy
- 36 B12 Allergy to fish and Anisakis simplex
- 39 B13 Allergy to crustaceans and molluscs
- 42 B14 Allergy to mammalian meat
- 44 B15 Fruit and vegetable allergy
- 46 B16 Wheat and buckwheat allergies

Contents

49	B17 - Soy Allergy
51	B18 - Peanut Allergy
54	B19 - Tree nut and seed allergies
57	B20-21 - Hymenoptera venom allergy
60	B22 - Occupational allergy
64	CO1 - Profilins
66	CO2 - PR-10-like Allergens
69	CO3 - Non-specific lipid transfer proteins (ns LTP)
72	CO4 - Serum albumins
75	CO5 - Tropomyosin
79	CO6 - Polcacins
81	CO7 - Lipocalins
84	CO8 - Seed storage proteins
87	C09 - Gibberelin-regulated proteins
90	C10 - Oleosins
92	C11 - Parvalbumins
95	Pocket guide Contributors

We thank all the Sponsors below for their financial contribution to this pocket guide via an unrestricted grant which supports independent medical education from EAACI

sponsors:







©John Wiley & Sons A/S. Published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd

Published by: The European Academy of Allergy and Clinical Immunology (EAACI) Hagenholzstrasse 111 3rd Floor 8050 Zurich Switzerland

The European Academy of Allergy and Clinical Immunology, EAACI, is a non-profit organisation active in the field of allergic and immunologic diseases such as asthma, rhinitis, eczema, occupational allergy, food and drug allergy and anaphylaxis. It includes over 13.000 members from 124 countries as well as 77 national and international societies.

This pocket guide is not a guideline. It contains information obtained from authentic and highly regarded sources. Reasonable efforts have been made to publish reliable data and information but the authors and the publisher make no representations or warranties with respect to, nor assume responsibility for, the validity or accuracy of all materials or the consequences of their use and shall not be liable for any damages arising from reliance thereon. If professional advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought. The authors and publishers have attempted trace the copyright holders of all material reproduced in this publication and apologise to copyright holders if permission to publish in this form has not been obtained. If any copyright material has not been acknowledged, please contact us so we may specify in any future reprint.

Graphic Design: bythebook-design.com

Introduction Note

Why this pocket guide? The Molecular Allergology User's Guide (MAUG) 2.0 was published as an e-book in July 2022 and as a hardcover book in June 2023. It is the most comprehensive and most recent book on Molecular Allergology. Its size and volume, however, are not ideal for daily clinical practice. Therefore, a new EAACI Task Force (TF) was created to provide essential information and clinical algorithms in a condensed format.

The new TF, coordinated by Christiane Hilger and supported by the MAUG 2.0 editorial team (Karin Hoffmann-Sommergruber, Alexandra Santos, Leticia de la Vecillas, and Stephanie Dramburg), EAACI junior members (Mattia Giovannini and Riccardo Castagnoli) and members of the Interest Group of Allergy Diagnosis & Systems Medicine (IGADSM) (Alma Villaseñor, Simon Blank, and Annette Kuehn), created a pocket guide version of MAUG 2.0, compiling key information in a practical and easy-to-use format.

The pocket guide contains all B chapters (Clinical practice) and C chapters (Cross-reactive molecules) of MAUG 2.0. All chapters follow the same structure: key information, tips for molecular diagnosis, a table of available allergens, and a diagnostic algorithm. The pocket guide is not meant to be a substitute for the book, and readers are invited to read the corresponding chapters in MAUG 2.0 for comprehensive background information. Allergen tables are limited to the currently available molecules, and not all might yet be available in all countries. The layout of the pocket guide is similar to MAUG 2.0, and all chapters have been validated by the authors of the original chapters and have undergone a final review. All authors and reviewers are listed and acknowledged at the end of the guide.

We would like to express our special thanks to all authors of MAUG 2.0 for their collaboration and to EAACI for supporting the TF. We hope readers will enjoy the pocket edition of MAUG 2.0 and find it helpful in their daily clinical practice.

Christiane Hilger, Alma Villaseñor, Karin Hoffmann-Sommergruber, Alexandra Santos, Leticia de la Vecillas, Stephanie Dramburg, Simon Blank, Annette Kuehn, Mattia Giovannini, Riccardo Castagnoli



Key points:

- PR-10 molecules (Bet v 1-like) are the major allergens in Fagales pollen often associated with an oral allergy syndrome.
- · Cup a 1 reactivity is the specific marker for a sensitization to the Cupressaceae family.
- Olive pollen allergy is caused by Ole e 1 sensitization in about 70% of cases. Ole e 1 is fully cross-reactive to Fra e 1.
- Pla a 1 and Pla a 2 may serve as a marker of primary sensitization to plane tree pollen.

Tips for molecular diagnosis

- Important panallergen groups are: profilins (Bet v 2), polcalcins (Bet v 4), nsLTP (Ole e 7 and Pla a 3), and gibberellin-regulated proteins (Cry j 7, Cup s 7, and Jun a 7).
- In case of IgE to multiple tree pollen, rule out a possible cross-reactive carbohydrate determinants (CCD)-reactivity.

Table of available components for IgE-diagnosis:

Allergen source	Component
(European white) Birch, Betula verruc	osa Bet v 1, Bet v 2, Bet v 4, Bet v 6
Alder, Alnus glutinosa	Aln g 1, Aln g 4
Hazel, Corylus avellana	Cor a 1 and isoallergens Cor a 1.0101, Cor a 1.0103, Cor a 1.0104
(Arizona) Cypress, Cupressus arizonia	ca Cup a 1
Olive, Olea Europaea	Ole e 1, Ole e 7, Ole e 9
Ash, Fraxinus excelsior	Fra e 1
Beech, Fagus sylvatica	Fag s 1
Date palm, Phoenix dactylifera	Pho d 2
London plane tree, Platanus acerifolio	Pla a 1, Pla a 2, Pla a 3
Sugi, Cryptomeria japonica	Cry j 1

Tree Pollen Allergy B01

Overview of the clinically most relevant marker molecules of genuine sensitisation (green) and panallergens (red)



B01 Tree Pollen Allergy

Diagnostic algorithms for suspected tree pollen allergy:



Note: GRP: gibberelin-regulated AIT: allergen immunotherapy.

>

Grass Pollen Allergy

Key points:

- IgE to group 1 allergens (e.g. PhI p 1) is a marker of true grass pollen sensitization.
- Group 1 allergens of subtropical grass pollens (Pas n 1, Sor h 1 and Cyn d 1) are relevant allergens for patients in subtropical regions.
- In temperate climates, patient serum IgE shows broad cross-reactivity between similar allergen components from different temperate grass pollens.

Tips for molecular diagnosis:

- IgE to other major grass pollen allergens is infrequently observed in the absence of IgE to PhI p 1.
- IgE to PhI p 5 or PhI p 2 can also serve as a markers of true grass pollen sensitization.
- PhI p 12 (profilin) and PhI p 7 (polcalcin) are minor panallergens; IgE to these allergens alone are not sufficient to indicate grass pollen allergy.
- Natural PhI p 4, Cyn d 1, and Cyn d 4, contain CCD, which may lead to a clinically irrelevant IgE cross-reactivity with a wide range of plants and plant products.

Allergen	Significance	
Timothy grass, Phleum pratense		
Phl p 1	Is often the initiator molecule in grass pollen allergy Marker for true grass pollen allergy	
Phl p 2	Confirms true sensitization to grass pollen	
Phl p 4	Native form contains CCD	
Phl p 5	Marker for true grass pollen allergy	
Phl p 6	Cross-reactive to PhI p 5 - does not add any further information	
Phl p 7	Highly cross-reactive polcalcin	
Phl p 11	Ole e 1-related protein	
Phl p 12	Highly cross-reactive profilin	
Bermuda grass, Cynodon dactylon		
Cyn d 1	Major allergen of Bermuda grass; native form contains CCD	
Cyn d 4	Major allergen of Bermuda grass; native form contains CCD	
Perennial ryegrass, Lolium perenne		
Lol p 1	Major allergen of Perennial ryegrass	

Table of available components for for IgE-diagnosis:

B02

B02 Grass Pollen Allergy

Venn diagram showing allergens of different sources that are similar to temperate and/or subtropical grass pollen allergens.

Major allergens are in bold. Allergens of the same biochemical family are shown in boxes of the same color. Panallergens are in small font. NOTE: native allergens indicated by `n' (e.g. nPhl p 4 contain CCD, which may lead to clinically irrelevant cross-reactivity).



(Pooideae) grass pollens

Subtropical (Chloridoideae & Panicoideae) grass pollens



Grass Pollen Allergy **B02**



ш 0

~

B03 Weed Pollen Allergy

Key points:

- Common weeds like ragweed, mugwort, Russian thistle and English plantain, are
 important allergen sources typically flowering in summer through to autumn.
- The biogeographical range and pollination periods of allergenic weeds can overlap confounding accurate allergy diagnosis.
- The habitat and flowering period of English plantain coincide with grass and IgE to Pla I 1 should be consequently tested if symptoms persist for some weeks after the grass pollen season.

Tips for molecular diagnosis

- Art v 3 reactivity frequently indicates LTP sensitization and may be associated with oral allergy syndrome.
- Specific IgE to Amb a 1 is a useful marker for ragweed sensitization but shows cross-reactivity with Art v 6 from mugwort and HeI a 6 from sunflower.
- Specific IgE to Art v 1 is a marker for mugwort sensitization, but shows partial cross-reactivity with ragweed Amb a 4.
- Par j 2 and Pla I 1 are highly specific markers for sensitization towards pellitory and English plantain, respectively.
- Sal k 1 is a specific marker of *Salsola kali* sensitization and is a dominant allergen in dry desertified areas.



Table of available components for IgE-diagnosis:

Component	
Amb a 1, Amb a 4	
Art v 1, Art v 3	
Par j 2	
Sal k 1	
Pla I 1	
Mer a 1	
Che a 1	
Can s 3	



Overview of cross-reactive weed allergens. Lines represent documented IgE crossreactivity, dotted lines represent potential IgE cross-reactivity based on high sequence identity. Lack of lines indicates absent IgE cross-reactivity.





C - Lipid transfer proteins



D - Ole e 1 like-proteins



B03 Weed Pollen Allergy

Diagnostic algorithms for suspected weed pollen allergy:

A - Ragweed and mugwort

Case History: pollen-related rhinoconjunctival and/or asthmatic symptoms from late summer to autumn

Skin prick test using ragweed and mugwort pollen extract





Weed Pollen Allergy

B - Pellitory





C – English plantain



B03



B04 House Dust Mite Allergy

Key points:

- · House dust mite (HDM) sensitization is an important risk factor for alleraic rhinitis (AR) and asthma (AA).
- · Most recognized house dust mites are Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus, Dermatophagoides farinae and Blomia tropicalis.
- In the majority of cases, a skin test with HDM extracts is able to detect sensitization and define the specificity of immunotherapy in patients suffering from AR/AA.



Tips for molecular diagnosis

- · Cross-reactivity between D. pteronyssinus and D. faringe extracts is high but it is low between Dermatophagoides and B. tropicalis. Speciesspecific component testing becomes relevant in places like the tropics, where co-exposure to both genera is common.
- Tropomyosin (Der p/Der f/Blo t 10) is the main cause of cross-reactivity among mites, cockroaches, shellfish and helminths.



Table of available components for IaE-diagnosis:

Allergen source	Component
European house dust mite, Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus	Der p 1, Der p 2, Der p 5, Der p 7, Der p 10, Der p 11, Der p 20, Der p 21, Der p 23
American house dust mite, Dermatophagoides farinae	Der f 1, Der f 2
Storage mite, Blomia tropicalis	Blo † 5, Blo † 10, Blo † 21
Storage mite, Lepidoglyphus destructor	Lep d 2
Storage mite, Glycyphagus domesticus	Gly d 2
Mold mite, Tyrophagus putrescentiae	Tyr p 2

House Dust Mite Allergy B04

Clinically relevant cross-reactivity of mite allergens. Species-specific components are shown in green.





B04 House Dust Mite Allergy

Diagnostic algorithms for suspected house dust mite allergy in temperate (A) and tropical (B) countries:



Note: SPT: Skin Prick Test. CRD: Component Resolved Diagnosis. CR: Cross-reactivity. EC: Environmental Control. AIT: Allergen Immunotherapy. Dp: *Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus extract*. Df: *Dermatophagoides farinae extract*.

Cockroach Allergy

Key points:

- · Cockroach allergens are strong inducers of IgE sensitization and asthma.
- Clinically important species are American and German cockroaches, although Oriental, Asian, brown-banded and smoky-brown cockroaches have also been reported to be associated with induction of cockroach allergies.
- In some places co-exposure to cockroach and mite allergens occurs.

Tips for molecular diagnosis

- In case of sensitization to both cockroach and mite extract, molecular IgE testing can help to distinguish sensitization to mite or cockroach using species-specific allergens such as Bla g 2, which are not present in mites.
- Blag 2 and Blag 5 were originally reported to induce the highest frequency of IgE sensitization among cockroach allergens. Recently, additional allergens (e.g. Blag 9) were also found to induce high levels of sensitization.
- There are important differences in IgE sensitization profiles to nine allergens among individual patients and populations, and no immunodominant allergens are present at the population level.

Table of commercially available components for IgE-diagnosis:

Allergen source	Component
German cockroach, Blatella germanica	Bla g 1, Bla g 2, Bla g 4, Bla g 5, Bla g 9
American cockroach, Periplaneta americana	Per a 7

B05



Clinically relevant cross-reactivity of cockroach allergens



Diagnostic algorithm for suspected cockroach allergy:





Furry animals

Key points:

- · Important indoor allergen source.
- · Marker allergens allow determination of primary sensitization source.
- High risk of cross-reactivity between serum albumins from furry animals, contained in dander, milk and meat (→milk and meat chapters: B10 and B14).

Tips for molecular diagnosis

- IgE to Fel d 1 is as good as IgE to cat extract for diagnosing cat allergy.
- Sensitisation to major cat/dog/horse allergens (e.g. Fel d 1 /Can f 1-Can f 5/Equ c 1) are specific markers of cat/dog/horse sensitisation.
- Multisensitisation to dog allergen molecules is associated with dog allergy.

Allergen source	Component
Cat	Fel d 1, Fel d 2, Fel d 4, Fel d 7
Cattle	Respiratory: Bos d 2 (dander) Food: Bos d 4, Bos d 5, Bos d 6, Bos d 8 (milk); Bos d 6 (meat)
Dog	Can f 1, Can f 2, Can f 3, Can f 4, Can f 5, Can f 6
Guinea-pig	Cav p 1
Hamster	Phod s 1 (Siberian/Djungarian hamster); Mes a 1 (Golden hamster)
Horse	Equ c 1, Equ c 3, Equ c 4
Mouse	Mus m 1
Pig	Sus s 1
Rat	No components available
Rabbit	Ory c 1, Ory c 2, Ory c 3

Table of available components for IgE-diagnosis of allergy to animal dander

Table of available components for occupational exposure to bovine products

Allergen	Main source	Protein	Exposed workers
Bos d 2	Dander	Lipocalin	Dairy farmers
Bos d 4	Milk	a-Lactalbumin	Candy and pastry workers
Bos d 6	Milk	Serum albumin	Lab workers
Bos d 8	Serum	Casein	Leather tanning





Furry animals **B06**



Table of cross-reactive allergens from furry animals

Allergen	Protein	Degree of cross-reactivity
Can f 1, Fel d 7	Lipocalin	moderate risk of cross-reactivity
Can f 3, Fel d 2, Equ c 3, Sus s 1	Serum albumin	high risk of cross-reactivity with other serum albumins
Can f 6, Fel d 4, Equ c 1, Cav p 6, Mus m 1	Lipocalin	moderate risk of cross-reactivity with some lipocalins
Can f 8, Fel d 3	Cystatin	moderate risk of cross-reactivity
Equ c 6, Equ a 6	Lysozyme	high risk of cross-reactivity

Furry animal dander extract contains cross-reactive molecules such as serum albumins, some of the lipocalins as well as other cross-reactive molecules (e.g. cystatin). Thus, it is important to define the primary allergenic source, especially if a specific immunotherapy is intended.

ω 0 **B07** Allergy to Moulds

Key points:

- Moulds are ubiquitous, but although exposure to spores in the air is frequent, the sensitization rate to mould spores in the general population is relatively low.
- Mould sensitization is more common in asthmatics and should be considered in the diagnosis.
- Of the huge variety of moulds worldwide, only few species can be used in allergy testing.
- Due to the difficult production and standardisation of mould allergen extracts, it can be helpful to improve mould allergy diagnosis using single allergen components.
- The mould species with the greatest clinical relevance are: Alternaria alternata and *Cladosporium herbarum* (outdoor); *Aspergillus fumigatus* and *Penicillium chrysogenum* (indoor).

Tips for molecular diagnosis

- Component-resolved diagnosis is useful to verify allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis (ABPA) in patients with sensitization to *Aspergillus fumigatus* as shown in the decision algorithm.
- In patients sensitized to Alternaria alternata testing to Alt a 1 is recommended before starting specific immunotherapy (SIT).

Table of available components for IgE-diagnosis (see also B08 for Malassezia sympodialis):

Allergen source	Component	
Aspergillus fumigatus	Asp f 1, Asp f 3, Asp f 4, Asp f 6	
Alternaria alternata	Alt a 1, Alt a 6	
Cladosporium herbarum	Cla h 8	





Mould mix (mx1): Aspergillus fumigatus, Penicillium chrysogenum, Cladosporium herbarum, Alternaria alternata; SBS: sick building syndrome; MMIS: mucous-membrane irritation syndrome, ODTS: organic dust toxic syndrome (endotoxin, mycotoxin)



Microbial allergens in atopic dermatitis and beyond

Key points:

- Yeast-like Malassezia species are commensals of the normal skin flora and are part of the skin microbiome.
- A sensitization to allergens of Malassezia species can frequently be found in the head and neck type of atopic dermatitis.
- The skin microbiome, especially Malassezia spp and Staphylococcus aureus, can be a target in atopic dermatitis therapy.

Tips for molecular diagnosis

- Fourteen allergens from 3 Malassezia species have been characterized to date.
- Three single allergens are available for allergy diagnosis.
- Mala s 11 is cross-reactive to Asp f 6, an allergen from Aspergillus fumigatus.

Table of available components for IgE-diagnosis of Malassezia allergy in atopic dermatitis

Common name (Species)	Allergenic molecule	Biochemical name
	Mala s 5	unknown
Malassezia (Malassezia sympodialis)	Mala s 6	cyclophilin
	Mala s 11	Mn superoxide-dismutase

Clinical algorithms:

The diagnosis of Malassezia-associated atopic dermatitis (AD) is based on the clinical picture. It may be supported by a positive type I allergic reaction to Malassezia spp, measured by a positive skin prick test, or by measuring Malassezia-specific serum IgE with a commercially available standardized assay (ImmunoCAP® m227) based upon extract of three different Malassezia species. Furthermore, a recently developed multiplex IgE-macroassay (MacroArray Diagnostics GmbH, Vienna, Austria) is available, including the allergens Mala s 5, 6, and 11. Based on its sequence homology, Asp f 6 shows high cross-reactivity with Mala s 11 and can be measured additionally. Total IgE measurements can allow one to determine the sensitization attributable to the whole extract, e.g. specific IgE can be expressed as a ratio or percentage of total IgE; it also indicates a reduced sensitivity of specific IgE in very low amounts of total IgE (< 25kU/I). Atopy patch testing has shown varying results. Some studies have shown no correlation between specific IgE and atopy patch test for Malassezia. In contrast, others have found a positive atopy patch test in 41% of patients with head and neck dermatitis and 30% in AD patients without head and neck involvement.

The benefit of topical or systemic antifungal treatment for clinical improvement of AD is controversial. Azole antifungals are the most commonly prescribed class of antifungals for AD patients. Azole antifungals show inhibitory effects against Malassezia spp *in vitro*. However, the relevance of systemic antifungal treatment (e.g. keto/itraconazole) to routine clinical practice remains to be demonstrated.

In the flow scheme of Figure 1, the diagnostic algorithm in suspected atopic dermatitis and suggested serological investigations in patients with confirmed atopic eczema is represented.

Microbial allergens in atopic dermatitis and beyond



[Figure 1] Diagnostic algorithm in suspected atopic dermatitis and suggested serological investigations in patients with confirmed atopic eczema

Abbreviations: Fx5, ImmunoCAP food allergy screening mix of egg white, milk, fish, wheat, peanut, soybean; PFT, Pulmonary Function Test; Sx1, ImmunoCAP respiratory allergy screening test Dermatophtagoides pteronyssinus, cat dander, dog dander, timothy grass pollen, ryegrass pollen, Cladosporium herbarum, birch, mugwort.

B08



B09 Edible insects

Key points:

- Clinically relevant cross-reactivity between mealworm and shrimp has been found.
- · Primary sensitisation to insects (mealworms) is possible.
- Currently good diagnostic tools for insect food allergy are missing.
- In case of unclear clinical history and serology, food challenges are necessary to confirm the diagnosis.





Tips for molecular diagnosis

- Important allergenic proteins are tropomyosin and arginine kinase (cross-reactivity).
- Tropomyosin and arginine kinase may be responsible for clinical cross-reactivity among crustaceans, molluscs, insects, and mites.
- In primary allergy, other allergens than tropomyosin and arginine kinase might be responsible such as Larval cuticle protein.
- Patients with shrimp alleray will most likely react to all insects, while primary alleray to a particular insect does not have to include allergies to other edible insects.



Allergen components from silkworm and mealworm.

Species	Allergenic molecule	Protein family	Frequency of IgE	MW (kDa)
Silkworm Bombyx mori	Bomb m 1	Arginine Kinase	100% (n=10)	42
	Bomb m 3	Tropomyosin	53.3% (n=15)	38
Mealworm Tenebrio melitor	NA	Arginine Kinase	23.1% (n=13)*	27
	NA	Tropomyosin	opomyosin 76.9% (n=13)*	
	NA	LCP AIA	100% (n=2)	18

*Unpublished data.



ш m z S ш C -ഗ

ω 0 ø ш

Molecular Allergology User's Guide 2.0

B10 Cow's milk allergy

Key points:

• Allergies to cow's milk are the most common in the world, but they are often outgrown.

Tips for molecular diagnosis

- The utility of IgE to allergen components does not exceed that of IgE to cow's milk extract to support the diagnosis of cow's milk allergy.
- Sensitisation to heat-resistant proteins (e.g., ovomucoid) and sequential epitopes have been associated with reactivity to baked milk and persistent milk allergy.

Allergen name	Allergenicity	Sensitisation rate % among those reactive to CM	Laboratory cross- reactivity	Clinical cross- reactivity	
Curd (coagulum) - Casein family					
Caseins (Bos d 8)	Major	63	>85% with sheep and goat milk caseins	>90%	
Alpha sl-casein (Bos d 9)	Major	98*			
Alpha s2-casein (Bos d 10)	Major	94*			
Beta-casein (Bos d 11)	Major	91*			
Kappa-casein (Bos d 12)	Major	91*			
Whey (lactoserum)					
Alpha lactalbumin (Bos d 4)	Major	51			
Beta lactalbumin (Bos d 5)	Major	61			
Bovine serum albumin (Bos d 6)	Minor	43	80% with beef	15-20% with raw beef	
Immunoglobulins (Bos d 7)	Minor	36			
Lactoferrin	Minor	35			

Allergens in Cow's Milk (CM), sensitisation and cross-reactivity patterns

* Percentage of those sensitised to casein Bos d 8

Cow's milk allergy B10

Proposed specific IgE diagnostic decision points for CM allergy diagnosis derived from studies in children, the majority of whom had atopic dermatitis.

	CM-slgE [kUA/L]	OFC to unheated milk
>95% PPV	>15; >5 if less than 1 year old	Defer
>50% to <95% PPV	5-15	Consider the OFC based on the clinician and patient's/ family preference, social and nutritional importance of dairy, history of recent reactions and type of symptoms
<50% PPV	<5	Perform
	CM skin prick test mean wheal diameter, mm	
>95% PPV	> 8 Casein-sIgE [KU/L]	Defer OFC to baked milk
>95% PPV	> 10	Defer
>50% to <95% PPV	5-10	Consider the OFC based on the clinician and patient's/ family preference, social, and nutritional importance of dairy, history of recent reactions and type of symptoms
<50% PPV	<5	Perform
	CM skin prick test mean wheal diameter, mm	
>90 NPV	<12	Perform
	Casein skin prick test mean wheal diameter, mm	
>90% NPV	<9	Perform

~

Note: OFC: Oral food challenge, PPV: positive predictive value, NPV: negative predictive value.



Key points:

- Egg allergy is one of the most frequent food allergies in children.
- The result of an IgE test can confirm the diagnosis of egg allergy in the case of a clear clinical history of reaction; in equivocal cases, an oral food challenge to egg may be needed to clarify the diagnosis.

Tips for molecular diagnosis

- The use of egg white components can help distinguishing between allergy to baked, cooked, and raw egg.
- The use of egg white components is clinically helpful for distinguishing between transient and persistent allergy to egg.

Major egg allergens:





Egg Allergy B11

Table of allergenic molecules of hen's egg and clinical relevance of specific proteins.

Protein name	MW (kDa)	Protein Family	Biological function(s)	Resistance to heating and chemical denaturation	Clinical relevance
Egg White Proteil	าร				
Ovomucoid (Gal d 1)	28	Kazal-type serine protease inhibitor	serine protease inhibition activity antibacterial activity	high	Heat-stable and highly allergenic. Risk for reaction to all forms of egg. High levels of specific IgE might indicate sustained egg allergy.
Ovalbumin (Gal d 2)	45	serine protease inhibitor	storage protein?	low	Heat-labile. Most abundant egg white protein. Risk for clinical reaction to raw or slightly heated egg.
Ovotransferrin or conalbumin (Gal d 3)	76- 77	transferrin	iron-binding capacity with antimicrobial activity	low	Heat-Labile. Risk for clinical reaction to raw or slightly heated egg.
Egg lysozyme (Gal d 4)	14.3	glycoside hydrolase family 22	antibacterial activity	moderate	Risk for clinical reaction to raw or slightly heated egg.
Ovomucin	165	contains trypsin inhibitor-like- domains	heavily glycosylated protein with potent antiviral activities	n.a.	

Egg Yolk Proteins					
Phosvitin	35	transferase?	Metal-chelating agent	n.a.	
a-livetin (Gal d 5)	65- 70	serum albumin	bind ions, fatty acids, hormones in physiological conditions	n.a.	
Apovitellenins I	9.5	very low- desity lipo protein	potent lipoprotein lipase inhibitor	n.a.	
Apovitellenins VI (orapoprotein B)	170	unknown	lipid-binding activity	n.a.	



Allergy to fish and Anisakis simplex

Key points:

- Fish and *Anisakis* are important food allergen sources.
- Fish species may differ by their allergenic potency.
- Ingestion of *Anisakis* in contaminated fish leads to fish allergy as well.
- Allergens from fish, shellfish (e.g., crustaceans) and *Anisakis* are not the same.

Tips for molecular diagnosis

- Parvalbumin is the major fish allergen and specific marker for fish allergy.
- Sensitization to multiple fish allergens is associated with fish allergy.
- Ani s 1 is a clinically relevant allergen for the diagnosis of Anisakis allergy.

Table of available components for IgE-diagnosis of allergy to fishes and fish parasite

English name (Species)	Allergenic molecule	Biochemical name		
FISHES				
Herring (Clupea harengus)	Clu h 1	β-parvalbumin		
Carp (Cyprinus carpio)	Сурс1	β-parvalbumin		
Atlantic cod (Gadus callarias)	Gad c 1	β-parvalbumin		
	Gad m 1	β-parvalbumin		
Atlantic cod (Gadus morhua)	Gad m 2	enolase		
	Gad m 3	aldolase		
Tuna (Thunnus albacares)	Thu a 1	β-parvalbumin		
Atlantic mackerel (Scomber scombrus)	Sco s 1	β-parvalbumin		
Swordfish (Xiphias gladius)	Xip g 1	β-parvalbumin		
Salmon (Salmo salar)	Sal s 1	β-parvalbumin		
Thornback ray (<i>Raja clavata</i>)	Raj c PV	α-parvalbumin		
ANISAKIS				
Horring worm (Anischis simpley)	Ani s 1	protease inhibitor		
	Ani s 3	tropomyosin		
Clinical algorithms:

When fish allergy is initially suspected (algorithm below), the question need to be asked is how "sensitive" is the patient. This is based first and foremost on the clinical history (e.g. severe reaction after ingestion of very small quantities) and can also be deduced from titrated challenge with the suspected fish. Severity might also be determined by the oral provocation test. It should be noted that the algorithm diagram below reflects a complete workflow, rather than a temporal sequence. Results of skin testing are sometimes available before the results of biological analyses. Challenge tests are not recommended in cases of suspected severe reaction. This problem is sometimes circumvented by challenging a supposedly less reactive fish first. As mentioned in the "Parvalbumins" section, some patients alleraic to fish may develop cross-reactivity to chicken meat due to cross-reactivity between parvalbumins. Depending on the patient's history, it may be advisable to carry out prick-to-prick tests to chicken meat, as well as serum IgE measurements to chicken meat, especially in the case of reactivity to alphaparvalbumins. During the diagnostic procedure (diagnostic algorithm below), it is important to rule out an anaphylaxis to Anisakis simplex, especially if no sensitization to fish is found, and in the case that raw fish has been consumed or not properly 'frozen' (-20°C for at least 24 hours or -35°C for at least 15 hours). It is important to bear in mind that measurement of IgE to Anisakis extract can produce false-positive results due to molecular cross-reactivity, such as to shellfish or arthropod allergens (e.g., house dust mite or cockroach tropomyosins).



Diagnostic algorithm in patients with suspected fish allergy.

B12

Molecular Allergology User's Guide 2.0



In the flow scheme below, the clinical cross-reactivity to unspecific fishes was confirmed by the detection of specific IgE to a broad panel of fish allergens, including parvalbumins, enolases, and aldolases.



B13

Key points:

- Some shellfish allergens can sensitise via the oral and inhalation route (tropomyosin, arginine kinase, triosephosphate isomerase, hemocyanin).
- It is important to consider that the symptoms elicited upon shellfish exposure may have been not directly related to the shellfish, such as Anisakis simplex allergy through fish, or in the case of mussels and oysters, paralytic shellfish /diarrhoetic shellfish poisoning which are caused by molluscs contaminated with algae producing toxins.

Tips for molecular diagnosis

- Tropomyosin and arginine kinase are highly cross-reactive allergens and are responsible for clinical cross-reactivity among crustaceans, molluscs, insects, and mites.
 Tropomyosin is heat-stable, while arginine kinase is heatlabile.
- Co-sensitization to tropomyosin (Pen m 1) and sarcoplasmic calcium binding protein (Pen m 4) may be a better predictor of clinical reactivity to shrimps.

Allergen source	Component
Prawns, shrimp	Pen a 1, Pen m 1; tropomyosin Pen m 2; arginine kinase Pen m 3; myosin light chain Pen m 4; sarcoplasmic calcium- binding protein Cra c 6; troponin C
Crabs	No components, only extracts available
Lobsters	No components, only extracts available
Bivalves	No components, only extracts available
Gastropods	No components, only extracts available
Cephalopods	No components, only extracts available

Table of available components for IgE-diagnosis of allergy to crustacean or molluscs



Allergy to crustaceans and molluscs



[Figure 1] - Clinically relevant cross-reactivities between shellfish (crustacean and mollusc) and invertebrate (mite, insect, and nematode) allergens. Cross-reactivity due to different allergen families is depicted in red (tropomyosin) or blue (arginine kinase) arrows. *indicates allergens that are not currently registered in the WHO-IUIS Allergen nomenclature database.



B13

Allergy to crustaceans and molluscs

Diagnostic algorithm for component-resolved diagnosis of shellfish allergy:



- More common in fish/mollusk than crustaceans.
- Consider exercice food challenge if history is suggestive of exercice related reaction and tolerance in other settings.
- *** Cooking at temperatures above 60°C or storage in industrial freezers for 2 days is required to kill the parasite.

Note: FPIES: food protein enterocolitis syndrome, DBPCFC: double-blind placebo controlled food challenge

ω

ω

⊳

B13



B14 Allergy to mammalian meat

Key points:

- Sensitisation to meat can be acquired through different routes (inhaled, oral, skin).
- New forms of allergic reactions to meat have been recognized (pork-cat and red meat).
- IgE immunoassays including meat allergen sources and components will help identifying the patients.
- Patients should be informed about the eliciting meat sources and about the avoidance regimen.
- Patients with alpha-gal syndrome (AGS) should avoid tick bites.
- Sensitisation to alpha-gal is also a major risk factor for immediate reactions to Cetuximab.

Tips for molecular diagnosis

- IgE to pork and cat albumin are markers of pork-cat syndrome.
- IgE to alpha-gal (galactose alpha-1,3-galactose) is a marker of mammalian meat allergy (AGS).

Table of allergens available for diagnosis for different forms of mammalian meat allergy.

Clinical syndrome	Component	Available allergens components
Pork-cat syndrome	serum albumins	Fel d 2, Can f 3, Sus s 1, Bos d 6
Alpha-gal syndrome (AGS)	alpha-gal	alpha-gal
Meat allergy related to milk	milk allergens	Bos d 4–6, Bos d 8
Primary meat allergy	meat allergens	Bos d 6, Sus s 1

Routes of sensitisation for allergens related to allergic reactions to meat.

Inhaled:	Cat albumins related to systematic reactions to pork
Oral:	Cow's milk allergens related to anaphylactic reactions to beef
Skin:	Tick bites leading to alpha-gal sensitisation

Allergy to mammalian meat **B14**

Diagnostic algorithm in patients with allergic reactions to meat:

Allergic reaction to mammalian meat





B15 Fruit and vegetable allergy

Key points:

- These allergies can either be due to cross-sensitization with pollen allergens or are due to genuine sensitization.
- · Frequently IgE cross-reactivity does not always coincide with clinical relevance.

Tips for molecular diagnosis

- Prick-to-prick testing using raw plant food is often superior to extract-based testing.
- Food challenges are the method of choice to rule out clinically silent IgE cross-reactivity.
- Allergens from peach are used for diagnosis in all types of Rosaceae fruit allergies.

Table of available components for IgE-diagnosis of allergy to fruits and vegetables.

Allergen source	Allergen	IgE prevalence/ Sensitisation	Cross-reactivity		
FRUITS					
Apple (Malus Mal d 1 15		15-70% of apple allergic patients			
domestica)	Mal d 3	1-50% of apple allergic patients			
	Pru p 1	11% of peach allergic pediatric cohort, 7-13% in adults (ESP, ITA)	High cross-reactivity between PR-10 proteins, profilins, and nsLTPs. Bet v 1-(PR-10) related		
Degeb (Prupus	Pru p 3	96% of peach allergic children	food proteins, profilin, and nsLTP sensitizations are not		
persica)	Pru p 4	10% of peach allergic children (ESP), and 7-34% of adults (ESP, ITA)	often accompanied by clinical symptoms.		
	Pru p 7	62-65% of peach allergic adults (FRA, JPN)			
Green Kiwifruit (Actinidia deliciosa)	Act d 1	5-32% (Central Europe – ISL)	It can be acquired via the gastrointestinal tract or via		
	Act d 2	2-18%	cross-sensitisation to birch or grass pollen and latex allergens. Cross-reactivity		
	Act d 5	2-18%	from latex, and kiwifruit. Latex- associated food allergies have cross-reactivity to banana,		
	Act d 8	7-58%	avocado, chestnut, kiwifruit, and many more.		
VEGETABLES					
Celery (Apium graveolens)	Api g 1	75%	Sensitisation to Fagales tree pollen and IgE to Bet v 1 may develop cross-sensitisation to Apiaceae vegetables such as carrot and celeriac.		

Abbreviations following the NATO country codes: ESP, Spain; ITA, Italy; FRA, France; JPN, Japan; ISL, Iceland.



Fruit and vegetable allergy B15



*Patients with sensitisation to birch pollen or other Fagales tree pollen and history of Rosaceae fruit induced oropharyngeal symptoms usually do not need further investigation; + Sensitivity of skin test or *in vitro* IgE determination using fruit extracts might be low due to underrepresentation of Bet v 1 homologues; \$ In patients from Mediterranean countries: OAS can also be linked to LTP or profilin sensitisation. In case of LTP-mediated OAS, strict elimination of fresh and processed food is recommended. SPT stands for Skin Prick Test



Wheat and buckwheat allergies

Wheat allergy

Key points:

- Wheat allergy can manifest as childhood food allergy, wheat-dependent, exercise-induced anaphylaxis (WDEIA), baker's asthma, or contact urticaria.
- Wheat sensitisation is more prevalent than true clinical allergy.
- There are several well-characterized allergenic molecules such as gliadins, glutenins, and alpha-amylase inhibitors, but no single major allergen has been identified.
- IgE-mediated food allergy to wheat causes symptoms like those seen in milk or egg allergy.
- WDEIA severe symptoms develop after wheat ingestion followed by physical exercise, typically among young adults.
- Baker's allergy or asthma is an occupational allergy caused by the inhalation of wheat flour.
- Contact urticaria is a local skin symptom often associated with the use of cosmetics and sometimes together with food allergy.

Tips for molecular diagnosis

• Due to cross-reactivity with other allergens, including grasses, IgE measurement to whole wheat extract gives unreliable results with low specificity in diagnostics. However, extractbased diagnosis is still recommended in case of wheat-allergy due to baker's asthma (Chapter B22).



Table of commercially available allergens for IgE diagnosis of wheat allergy. #Wheat (*Triticum aestivum*).

Allergen#	Component
Tri a 14	Wheat nsLTP-1 has no cross-reactivity with grass pollen.
Tri a 19	Omega-5-gliadin. Major allergen for WDEIA and food allergy. Cross-reactivity with rye gamma-70 and gamma-35 secalins and barley gamma-3 hordein.
Tri a aA/ TI	Alpha-amylase inhibitor, associated with both baker's asthma and food allergy.



Cross-reactivity with wheat allergens.

Diagnostic algorithm for wheat allergy:



Molecular Allergology User's Guide 2.0

B16 Wheat and buckwheat allergies

Buckwheat allergy

Key points:

- Clinically irrelevant sensitisation to buckwheat is common.
- Relatively infrequent, but can often cause anaphylactic reactions.

Buckwheat is often consumed as a hidden food allergen. Remember the possibility of this allergy when investigating unclear anaphylaxis.



Tips for molecular diagnosis

- The diagnostic performance of IgE to buckwheat extract and skin prick tests is low.
- IgE to Fag e 2 is associated with severe reactions, but well-defined commercially available buckwheat allergens for molecular allergy diagnostics are lacking.





Soy Allergy B17

Key points:

- Soy allergens can induce food allergy and inhalant (occupational) allergies.
- Food allergic reactions to soy are caused by exposure to processed whole soybeans or soy protein products.
- Inhalant allergies are caused by inhaled dust from unprocessed soybeans.

Tips for molecular diagnosis

- Birch pollen-allergic individuals may experience allergic reactions after eating barely processed soybeans, soy protein powder-containing products, or soy drink products. These reactions, mediated by IgE-cross-reactivity between Bet v 1 or homologs and Gly m 4, are the most common type of soy allergy in regions with relevant birch pollen or alder pollen exposure.
- Oropharyngeal and sometimes severe reactions to Gly m 4 are limited to fresh, barely processed soy protein-containing products.
- Three clinical patterns can be identified in IgE-mediated sensitisation and the clinical symptoms of immediate hypersensitivity:
 - A) Early, presumably epicutaneous or intestinal sensitisation to rather stable allergens (i.e., Gly m 5 and Gly m 6) in atopic individuals after ingestion of small amounts of soy or processed soy products.
 - B) Exposure to *Fagales* pollen in atopic individuals developing Bet v 1-specific IgE with variable degrees of cross-reactivity to the soybean PR-10 protein Gly m 4 after consumption of mildly processed soy products (soy protein powder, soy milk, etc.).
 - C) Massive exposure to dust from unprocessed soybeans could induce IgE-mediated sensitisation to hull allergens (Gly m 1, Gly m 2).

Allergen#	Clinical relevance / Cross - reactivity
Gly m 4	Masks the differentiation between food reactions to stable allergens (i.e., Gly m 5 and Gly m 6) and cross-reactions to the Bet v 1-homologue in soy.
Gly m 5	These allergens are well-represented in soy extracts and are associated
Gly m 6	with severe allergic reactions to soy in children and adults.

Table of available allergens for IgE diagnosis of soy allergy. #Soy (Glycine max).



Diagnostic algorithm for soy allergy:

Case history (anamnesis):



Diagnostic algorithm in soy-related allergic reactions (representing food allergy class II in left column and class I in right column). Arrows indicate that mild as well as severe reactions can be associated with different clinical features. Specific questions, proper interpretation of sensitisation tests (i.e., SPT and IgE), and optional food challenges help establish the diagnosis of soy allergy. Working hypothesis: Based on soy-related clinical patterns A–C. SPT; Skin Prick Test

Peanut Allergy **B18**

Key points:

· IgE reactivity to individual peanut allergens is a valuable tool for the clinician to diagnose and manage peanut allergy in children and adults.

Tips for molecular diagnosis

Protein family

Renamed to Ara h 3.02.

number not available for future submissions

· Knowledge of the allergen to which the patient is sensitised can help to predict the severity of the allergic reaction and the prognosis for the patient.

Other names

Glycinin, 11S globulin

Pathogenesis-related

7S globulin

Conglutin

Conglutin

Conalutin

protein

- Sensitisation to seed storage proteins, which have high stability to thermal processing, (Ara h 1, 2, 3 and 6) is associated with severe alleraic reactions.
- Labile proteins, Ara h 5 and 8, are less likely to cause severe reactions.

Table of peanut allergens

Vicillin

2S albumin

Leaumin

Profilin

Bet v 1

nsLTP-1

Oleosin

Oleosin

Defensin

Defensin

Oleosin

Oleosin

2S albumin

2S albumin

Allergen

Arah 1

Arah 2

Arah 3

Arah 4

Arah 5

Arah 6

Arah 7

Arah 8

Arah 9

Arah 10

Arah 11

Arah 12

Arah 13

Arah 14

Arah 15

Arah 16 nsLTP-2 85 Yes nsLTP-1 Arah 17 11 Yes Cyclophilin-peptidyl-Arah 18 21 222 propyl cis-trans isomerase *which molecular mass is indicated e.g. estimated by SDS-PAGE or theoritical molecular mass. Allergens marked in bold are available for diagnosis.

m

ת

റ

~



Heat

Yes

Yes

Yes

No

Yes

Yes

No

Yes

Yes

Yes

To be

To be

Yes

Yes

expected

expected

stability

Molecular weight (kDa)

60,37 (fragment)*

8 (reducing)*, 12 (non

reducing)*, 5.184 (mass)*

8 (reducing)*, 11 (non reducing)* 5.472 (mass)*

64

17

15

15

15

17

9.8

16

14

17.5





Diagnostic algorithm for peanut allergy in a clinical setting: Clear history of immediate reaction to peanut:





Diagnostic algorithm for peanut allergy in a clinical setting: Uncertain peanut allergy history:





B19 Tree nut and seed allergies

Key points:

- Tree nuts, seeds and legumes are in fact all seeds, and the same allergen families are found in these foods.
- Co-sensitisation and in vitro cross-reactivity are often not clinically relevant, but cross-reactivity can occur in vivo.
- While clinically relevant sensitisation to pistachio and pecan nut usually implies clinically relevant sensitisation to cashew nut and walnut, respectively, the reverse is not always the case.

Tips for molecular diagnosis

- Sensitisation to Bet v 1 homologues such as Cor a 1 and Jug r 5 occurs mainly in the adult population of the Northern hemisphere (birch pollen endemic areas) and it often results in no or mild symptoms.
- Sensitisation to nsLTPs with peach Prup 3 as a primary sensitiser occurs mainly in the Mediterranean region. However, the number of cases is increasing in Northern Europe
- Sensitisation to the hazelnut 2S albumin Cor a 14 is associated with severe allergic reactions, and such associations may also exist for 2S albumins of other tree nuts and seeds (e.g., Jug r 1, Ana o 3, Ses i 1).

Identified tree nut allergens. Overview of the different tree nut allergens divided into protein families.

0	Seed storage proteins		Pathogenesis-related proteins		D		0	
Source	2S albumins	7S albumins	11S globulins	PR-10 proteins Bet v 1-homoloque	PR-14 proteins nsLTPs	Profilins	Oleosins	sins Others
Hazelnut Corylus avellana	Cor a 14	Cor a 11	Cor a 9	Cor a 1	Cor a 8	Cor a 2	Cor a 12 Cor a 13 Cor a 15	
Almond Prunus dulcis	Pru du 2S albumin Pru du APª	Pru du 83	Pru du 6	Pru du 1	Pru du 3	Pru du 4		Pru du 2 ¹ Pru du 5 ²
Cashew nut Anacardium occidentale	Ana o 3	Ana o 1	Ana o 2					
Pistachio Pistacia vera	Pis v 1	Pis v 3	Pis v 2 Pis v 5					Pis v 4 ⁵
Walnut Juglans regia	Jug r 1	Jug r 2 Jug r 6	Jug r 4	Jug r 5	Jug r 3 Jug r 8	Jug r 7		
Pecan nut Carya illinoinensis	Cari 1	Car i 2	Cari4					
Brazil nut Bertholletia excelsa	Ber e 1		Ber e 2					
Macademia nut Macademia integrifolia		Mac i 1	Mac i 2		MiAMP2a			
Pine nut Pinus pinea	Pin p 1	Pin p vicilin						Pin p 17 kDa¢
Coconut Cocos nucifera		Coc n 1 Coc n 2	Coc n 4			Coc n 5		

Bold font indicates the availability on commercial diagnostic platforms; ns LTP: non-specific lipid transfer protein, PR10: pathogenesis-related protein 10, 1: Thaumatin, 2: Ribosomal protein P2, 3: Antimicrobial seed storage protein, 4: conglutin, 5: Mn superoxide dismutase, 6: not known yet Tree nut and seed allergies

Identified seed allergens. Overview of the different seed allergens divided by protein families.

	Seed storage proteins		Seed storage proteins Pathogenesis-related proteins		Duefiliere		0	
Source	2S albumins	7S albumins	11S globulins	PR-10 proteins Bet v 1-homoloque	PR-14 proteins nsLTPs	Promins	Oleosins	Others
Sesame Sesamum indicium	Sesil Sesi2	Ses i 3	Sesi6 Sesi7			Ses i 8	Ses i 4 Ses i 5	
Mustard seed Sinapis alba	Sin a 1		Sin a 2		Sin a 3	Sin a 4		
Sunflower seed Helianthus annuus	Hel a 2S albumin				Hel a 3	Hel a 2		Hel a 44
Pumpkin seed Cucurbita maxima	Cuc ma 5		Cuc ma 4			Cuc ma 2		
Poppy seed Papaver somniferum				Pap s 1		Pap s 2		Pap s 34kDa⁵
Buckwheat Fagopyrum esculentum	Fag e 2	Fag e 3 Fag e 4 Fag e 5	Fag e 14					Fag e 10kDa² Fag e TI³
Flaxseed Linum usitatissimum	Lin u 1							

Bold font indicates the availability on commercial diagnostic platforms; ns LTP: non-specific lipid transfer protein, PR10; pathogenesis-related protein 10, 1: Defensin, 2: Alpha-amylase inhibitor, 3: Trypsin inhibitor, 4: 13S globulin, legumin-like protein, 5: not known yet. Chia seed not available.



B19

B19 Tree nut and seed allergies



Diagnostic work-up in tree nut, peanut and/or seed-related allergic reactions. Arrows indicate potential diagnostic steps.

Hymenoptera venom allergy

Key points:

CRD in Hymenoptera venom allergy aims to streamline therapeutic decisions and is recommended:

- In case of multiple positive test results with different venoms to discriminate between true sensitization and cross-reactivity.
- For diagnosis in patients with an inconclusive history.
- In cases of discrepancies between clinical history and classical diagnostic results to identify the culprit insect(s).
- In case of negative test results with different venoms despite a convincing clinical history due to potentially enhanced sensitivity of CRD (e.g. in mastocytosis patients).

Tips for molecular diagnosis

- slgE to Api m 1, Api m 3, Api m 4 and Api m 10 indicates primary bee venom allergy.
- slgE to Api m 2 may be a helpful marker to detect primary bee venom allergy. Interpret results with care in the context of clinical history.
- slgE to Api m 5 does not exclude primary vespid venom allergy.
- slgE to Ves v 1 / Pol d 1 and Ves v 5 / Pol d 5 indicate primary vespid venom allergy.
- slgE to Ves v 1 / Pol d 1 and Ves v 5 / Pol d 5 is no reliable marker to dissect between primary sensitization to yellow jacket and /or European paper wasp venom.







Hymenoptera venom allergy

Characteristics of Hymenoptera venom allergens currently available for routine CRD.

Allergen	Significance				
Honeybee venor	Honeybee venom (Apis mellifera)				
Api m 1 Phospholipase A2	Marker allergen for HBV sensitization; Allows discrimination between HBV and YJV/PDV sensitization				
Api m 2 Hyaluronidase	Potential marker for HBV sensitization, due to limited cross-reactivity with Ves v 2 and Pol d 2 in the absence of CCDs (Cross-reactivity with Ves v 2 and Pol d 2 cannot be fully excluded)				
Api m 3 Acid phosphatase	Marker allergen for HBV sensitization; Allows discrimination between HBV and YJV/PDV sensitization; Valuable marker allergen to diagnose HBV allergy in Api m 1-negative patients				
Api m 4 Melittin	Marker allergen for HBV sensitization; Allows discrimination between HBV and YJV/PDV sensitization; Putative marker allergen for increased risk of VIT side-effects during up- dosing				
Api m 5 Dipeptidyl peptidase IV	High cross-reactivity with Ves v 3 and Pol d 3 prevents its use as a marker allergen; In cases where HBV allergy is highly likely, but other tests have turned out negative, the use of Api m 5 still remains a diagnostic option to be considered				
Api m 10 Icarapin	Marker allergen for HBV sensitization; Allows discrimination between HBV and YJV/PDV sensitization; Valuable marker allergen to diagnose HBV allergy in Api m 1-negative patients; Dominant Api m 10 sensitization suggested as putative marker for risk of VIT failure				
Yellow jacket ver	nom (Vespula vulgaris)				
Ves v 1 Phospholipase A1	Marker allergen for YJV sensitization; Allows discrimination between YJV and HBV sensitization; High cross-reactivity with Pol d 1 prevents its use as a marker allergen to discriminate between YJV and PDV sensitization				
Ves v 5 Antigen 5	Marker allergen for YJV sensitization; Allows discrimination between YJV and HBV sensitization; High cross-reactivity with Pol d 5 prevents its use as a marker allergen to discriminate between YJV and PDV sensitization				
European paper wasp venom (Polistes dominula)					
Pol d 1 Phospholipase A1	Marker allergen for PDV sensitization; Allows discrimination between PDV and HBV sensitization; High cross-reactivity with Ves v 1 prevents its use as a marker allergen to discriminate between PDV and YJV sensitization				
Pol d 5 Antigen 5	Marker allergen for PDV sensitization; Allows discrimination between PDV and HBV sensitization; High cross-reactivity with Ves v 5 prevents its use as a marker allergen to discriminate between PDV and YJV sensitization				

CCDs, cross-reactive carbohydrate determinants; HBV, honeybee venom; PDV, Polistes dominula venom; YJV, yellow jacket venom (Vespula spp. venom).



Diagnostic algorithms for component-resolved diagnostics of (A) honeybee venom (HBV) and yellow jacket venom (YJV) allergy and (B) YJV and European paper wasp venom (PDV) allergy. Die algorithm in (A) can also be used to discriminate between HBV and PDV allergy using the PDV homologues of Ves v 1 and Ves v 5, Pol d 1 and Pol d 5. A plus indicates a positive, and a minus indicates a negative test result. ¹The HBV allergen Api m 2 might show limited crossreactivity to homologous allergens of YJV and PDV that are not commercially available, so that a positive test result does not necessarily exclude YJV or PDV allergy. For the use of the HBV allergen Api m 5 for diagnosis please refer to the table in this chapter. Despite the potential of component-resolved diagnostics, clinical history, skin tests and the measurement of venom-sIgE and serum tryptase build an indispensable basis for accurate diagnosis in Hymenoptera venom allergy. Moreover, cellular tests such as basophil activation test (BAT) and CAP inhibition assays may be helpful diagnostic tools in dissecting primary sensitization.

Ξ N 0

Т

~

Σ

ш z 0

σ

ш ת ⊳



B22 Occupational allergy

Key points:

- · More than 400 occupational sensitisers are identified, but only a limited number of them are characterized on the molecular level.
- Natural rubber latex (NRL) allergy is an excellent model for improving slgE measurement with recombinant major allergens.
- Wheat flour proteins are allergens for 60-70% of symptomatic bakers, although other cereals like rve that is used frequently in Germany and northern Europe, barley, oats and corn, and non-cereal sources, enzymes and insects, may be involved.
- IgE-sensitisation profile in patients with baker's asthma showed great inter-individual variation

Tips for molecular diagnosis

- For diagnosis of wheat allergy due to baker's asthma, extract-based diagnostic is still recommended.
- Including baking enzymes into the test panel is highly recommended for diagnosis of baker's asthma.

FLOUR

dine .



[Figure 1] Molecular backround and association of latex-fruit/vegetable syndrome alleraens with potential importance for cross-reactivity

60

>

Occupational allergy

Table of available occupational plant and mold allergens (see also B07 and B22; for mammalian allergens, see B06, C04, and C07):

Allergen source	Protein	Relevance	Notes			
Rice mold (Aspergillus oryzae)						
Asp o 21	a-amylase	Major allergen	Enzyme present in baking additives			
Indian hemp (Ca	annabis sativa)					
Can s 3	nsLTP	Major allergen	Risk of likely-anaphylaxis to cannabis			
Natural rubber la	itex (Hevea brasilie	ensis)				
Hev b 1	Rubber elongation factor	Major allergen in SB				
Hev b 3	Small rubber particle protein	Major allergen in SB				
Hev b 5	Acidic structural protein	Major allergen in HCW and important in SB	Potential cross-reactivity with fruits and vegetable allergens			
Hev b 6.02	Hevein	Major allergen in HCW	Potential cross-reactivity with fruits and vegetable allergens			
Hev b 7	Patatin-like	Minor allergen	Potential cross-reactivity with fruits and vegetable allergens			
Hev b 8	Profilin	Minor allergen	Potential cross-reactivity with birch/olive pollen and fruits and vegetable allergens			
Hev b 11	Class I chitinase	Minor allergen	Potential cross-reactivity with fruits and vegetable allergens			
Wheat (Triticum aestivum)						
Tri a 14	nsLTP	Minor allergen in baker's asthma				
Tri a 19	Omega-5- gliadin	Not relevant for diagnosis of baker's asthma	omega-5-gliadin, involved in WDEIA and also important for the early childhood type I-wheat allergy			

SB, spina bifida patients; HCW, health care workers; WDEIA, wheat dependent exercise-induced anaphylaxis



B22

B22 Occupational allergy

Diagnostic algorithm for natural rubber latex (type I allergy):



Occupational allergy B22

Different types of IgE-mediated wheat allergy (food allergy versus respiratory allergy):







Key points:

A) As an airborne allergen:

- Depending on pollen exposure, 5- 50% of pollen-allergic patients are sensitized to profilin.
- As a minor pollen allergen, sensitisation is almost always associated with the sensitisation to major pollen allergens (mainly grass pollen allergy).
- Clinical relevance is variable but potentially present.

B) As a plant food allergen:



• Up to 50% of sensitized patients may have food allergy, oral allergy syndrome in most cases.

Tips for molecular diagnosis

A) As an airborne allergen:

• On SPT most pollen sources score positive (Cypress and Pellitory mostly score negative).

B) As a plant food allergen:

- Oral provocation of food-allergic patients with low doses of purified profilin has proven to induce severe reactions in grass pollen (GP) allergic patients' resident in areas with high grass pollen exposure.
- Clinical reactivity to raw tomato, melon, watermelon, banana, and/or citrus fruits is typically associated with profilin hypersensitivity. Patients tolerate processed foods.
- C) Natural rubber latex contains many allergenic proteins, including profilin (Hev b 8). Therefore, the crude extract of *Hevea brasiliensis* latex scores often positive in patients with multiple pollen sensitisation. Patients sensitised uniquely to profilin can undergo surgery without any risk as latex gloves and latex instruments lack this allergen.

Table of available allergens for IgE diagnosis of profilin allergy

Profilins from pollen sources			
Allergen source	Allergen		
Birch (Betula pendula)	Bet v 2		
Timothy (Phleum pratense)	Phl p 12		
Mugwort (Artemisia vulgaris)	Art v 4		
Annual mercury (Mercurialis annua)	Mer a 1		

Profilins from plant foods		
Allergen source	Allergen	
Peach (Prunus persica)	Pru p 4	



Table of additional tests

In vivo diagnosis	SPT using a commercial profilin-enriched date palm pollen extract (available only in Spain and Austria). The extract for skin testing is very close to that of the recombinant grass pollen profilin for <i>in-vitro</i> use (PhI p 12).
In vitro tests	There are different profilins available for <i>in vitro</i> diagnosis. In general, any vegetable profilin can identify profilin-positive patients. Whole <i>Parietaria judaica</i> and <i>Cupressus</i> pollen <i>in vitro</i> (and <i>in vivo</i>) diagnostics might not detect profilin positivity.



[Figure 1] - Cross-reactivity between profilins from different pollen sources and plant foods

S



Key points:

- The major birch pollen allergen Bet v 1 represents the archetype of all PR-10-like allergens and is the primary sensitiser in birch pollen endemic regions.
- The presence of homologous allergens in Fagales tree pollen explains the IgE cross-reactivity between pollen from hazel, alder, beech, oak, hornbeam and chestnut.
- Testing for Bet v 1-specific IgE is sufficient. The relevance of cross-reacting pollen or foods can be clinically clarified by seasonal and food-related symptoms without the need for further testing of Bet v 1 homologues.

Tips for molecular diagnosis

 Minute amounts of PR-10-like allergens in raw fruits, nuts, vegetables and legumes can induce patient-individual patterns of oropharyngeal symptoms and sometimes even severe allergic reactions in Bet v 1-sensitised individuals.



Table of commercially available allergens for IgE diagnosis of allergy to PR-10-like allergens

Allergen source	Allergen
Birch, Betula pendula	Bet v 1
Alder, Alnus glutinosa	Aln g 1
Hazel, Corylus avellana	Cor a 1.0101, Cor a 1.0103
Beech, Fagus silvatica	Fag s 1
Apple, Malus domestica	Mal d 1
Carrot, Daucus carota	Dau c 1
Celery, Apium graveolens	Api g 1
Peach, Prunus persica	Pru p 1
Soy bean, <i>Glycine max</i>	Gly m 4
Hazelnut, Corylus avellana	Cor a 1.0401
Peanut, Arachis hypogea	Ara h 8
Kiwi, Actinidia deliciosa	Act d 8



Overview of Bet v 1-cross-reactive food sources containing PR-10-like allergens:





Molecular Allergology User's Guide 2.0



Diagnostic algorithm for suspected allergy to PR-10-like allergens



Clinical relevance

- Sensitization to the PR-10-like allergen Bet v 1 and its homologous proteins in pollen from Fagales tree species occurs worldwide except in South Africa and the tropics.
- · Around half of all sensitized individuals will develop symptoms.
- Around 70% of birch pollen allergic individuals suffer from associated plant food allergy.
- Impact of IgE-cross-reactivity on clinical symptoms of food allergy is still unknown.



Non-specific lipid transfer proteins (nsLTP)



Key points:

- Most frequent type of food allergy in adults and adolescents in Southern European countries, while low prevalence in Central and Northern Europe.
- Severity of the reaction seems to be higher in monosensitised LTP patients and milder when patients are also sensitised to profilin, PR-10 or to pollen.
- Pru p 3, the major allergen of peach, plays a precursor role in the sensitisation to other nsLTP in most of the patients.

Tips for molecular diagnosis

- The level of specific IgE to Pru p 3 does not correlate with the severity of reactions.
- Patients can present restricted IgE recognition to one LTP or a broad recognition spectrum (LTP syndrome).
- Most severe reactions are frequently associated with different cofactors such as NSAIDs intake or exercise.

Table of available components for IgE-diagnosis of nsLTP allergy.

Allergen source	Allergen	Cross-reactivity / Sensitisation			
PLANT FOODS					
Peach (Prunus persica)	Pru p 3	Pru p 3 sensitisation correlates with the development of allergic reactions to a higher number of plant-foods than Pru p 1 and Pru p 4 sensitisation alone.			
Apple (Malus domestica)	Mal d 3				
Hazelnut (Corylus avellana)	Cor a 8				
Walnut (<i>Juglans regia</i>)	Jug r 3				
Peanut (Arachis hypogaea)	Ara h 9	IgE cross-reactivity has been observed within the Rosacege family (high degree)			
Wheat (Triticum aestivum)	Tri a 14	and with citrus fruits, grapes, tomatoes,			
Kiwi (Actinidia deliciosa)	Act d 10	(hazelnut, walnut, peanut, etc.), maize, onion carrot rice and spet (partial cross-			
Corn (Zea mays)	Zea m 14	reactivity).			
Celery (Apium graveolens)	Api g 2, 6	Hemp nsLTP can start sensitization by a different route than Pru p 3.			
Grape (Vitis vinifera)	Vit v 1				
Tomato (Solanum lycopersicum)	Sola I 6				
Hemp (Cannabis sativa)	Can s 3				



C03 Non-specific lipid transfer proteins (nsLTP)

POLLEN		
Mugwort (Artemisia vulgaris)	Art v 3	Allergens from Parietaria and Olea show absent cross-reactivity with Pru p 3.
		Parietaria pollinosis is often linked to asthma.
Olive (Olea europoea)	Ole e 7	Ole e 7 has been reported to identify a severe alleraic olive pollen phenotype,
Plane (Platanus acerifolia)	Pla a 3	with an increased risk of asthma and side reactions during immunotherapy.
Parietaria (Parietaria judaica)	Par j 2	 Art v 3 and Pla a 3 display partial cross- reactivity with Pru p 3. Artemisia or Platanus should always be considered for potential cross-reactivity.
OTHERS		
Cannabis	Can s 3	In some European regions, Can s 3 is considered the major allergen (approximately 70%).

Cross-reactivity:



[Figure 1] - Cross-reactivity due to nsLTP molecules between different allergenic sources. Continuous lines indicate a high degree of cross reactivity among the Rosaceae family. Dashed lines indicate partial cross-reactivity.



Diagnostic algorithms using molecular IgE diagnostics in the case of positive SPT/extract-based IgE test to A) peanut; B) peach; C) hazelnut or D) apple.





C04 Serum albumins

Key points:

- · Highly conserved sequences with high amino acid sequence identity.
- · Minor respiratory allergens of animal dander.
- · Food allergens of milk and meat.
- May elicit severe symptoms upon ingestion of uncooked or boiled food.
- Allergen implicated in pork-cat and bird-egg syndrome.

Tips for molecular diagnosis

- As mammalian serum albumins are highly cross-reactive, the choice of serum albumins included in the determination of allergen-specific IgE should be guided by clinical history.
- Specific IgE are mostly positive to Can f 3 and Fel d 2, whereas IgE-reactivity against Bos d 6 and Sus s 1 are less frequent.
- · Gal d 5 should be considered as an independent allergen, as homology to mammalian albumins is relatively low.



Table of available components for IgE-diagnosis of allergy related to animal products and albumin-containing sources

Cat	Fel d 2, albumin cross-reactive with meat/milk albumin, and other animal dander albumins.
Cattle	Bos d 6, albumin present in milk and meat and in cell culture media; Cross-reactive with other dander and milk albumins.
	Bos d 4, Bos d 5, Bos d 8, marker allergens for milk allergy.
Dog	Can f 3, albumin cross-reactive with meat/milk albumin, and other animal dander albumins.
Horse	Equ c 3, albumin cross-reactive with meat/milk albumin, and other animal dander albumins.
Pig	Sus s 1, albumin present in porcine meat, cross-reactive with other animal dander albumins.
Alpha-gal	Carbohydrate present in mammalian meat and dairy products, marker allergen of the alpha-gal syndrome.




[Figure 1] - Cross-reactivities among allergenic serum albumins. All mammalian serum albumins are potentially IgE-cross-reactive. Clinical cross-reactivity between mammalian Feld 2 and Sus s 1 and avian Gald 5 are rare and have been documented only from mammal to bird. Solid lines represent documented IgE-cross-reactivity, and dashed lines show hypothetical cross-reactivity.

Diagnostic algorithms for component-resolved diagnosis of alleray to cat dander, milk or meat



[Figure 2] - Added value of the use of single allergens in the case of a positive IgE test to cat dander.



[Figure 3] - Added value of the use of single allergens in the case of a positive IgE test to milk.



[Figure 4] - Added value of the use of single allergens in the case of a positive IgE test to meat.









Tropomyosin C05

Key points:

- Tropomyosins are thermostable proteins with a high allergenicity potential and high degree of immunological and clinical cross-reactivity between different species.
- Due to their extensive cross-reactivity, they are considered pan-allergens.
- They are the main allergens inducing seafood allergy in most but not all patients. Sensitised patients might tolerate seafood, but this must be proven by food challenge.
- Immunotherapy is not currently available but there are studies to construct modified molecules for their use.

Tips for molecular diagnosis

- The shrimp tropomyosin is one of the most clinically relevant allergenic tropomyosins.
- Diagnostic steps could be starting with SPT with the whole extract, and detecting IgE antibodies to the extract, tropomyosin and other shellfish allergens, such as Pen m 2 (Arginine kinase), Pen m 4 (Sarcoplasmic calcium-binding protein), Pen m 3 (Myosin light chain), and Pen m 6 (Troponin C).

Allergen source	Allergen
House dust mites Dermatophagoides pteronyssinuss	Der p 10
Storage mites Blomia tropicalis	Blo†10
Shrimp Penaeus aztecus	Pen a 1
Shrimp Peneaus monodon	Pen m 1
Cockroach Blattella germanica	Bla g 7
American cockroach Periplaneta americana	Per a 7
Anisakis Anisakis simplex	Ani s 3
Storage mites Blomia tropicalis	Blo † 10
Shrimp Peneaus monodon	Pen m 1

Table of available components for IgE-diagnosis of allergy to tropomyosins



C05 Tropomyosin



Table of some tropomyosins identified.

Species	Allergen	Species	Allergen
<i>Aedes aegypti</i> (Yellow fever mosquito)	Aed a 10	Lepisma saccharina (Silverfish)	Lep s 1
Anisakis simplex (Herring worm)	Ani s 3	Litopenaeus vannamei (White	Lit v 1
Ascaris lumbricoides (Common roundworm)	Asc I 3	Macrobrachium rosenbergii (ajant freshwater prawn)	Mac r 1
<i>Blattella germanica</i> (German cockroach)	Bla g 7	Melicertus latisulcatus (King	Mel I 1
Blomia tropicalis (Storage mite)	Blo†10	Metanonacus ensis (Shrimp)	Mot o 1
Bombyx mori (Silk moth)	Bomb m 3	Oreochromis mossambicus	
Charybdis feriatus (Crab)	Cha f 1	(Mozambique tilapia)	
Chironomus kiiensis (Midge)	Chi k 10	Pandalus borealis (Northern shrimp)	Pan b 1
<i>Chortoglyphus arcuatus</i> (Storage mite)	Cho a 10	Pangasianodon hypophtalmus (Striped catfish)	Pan h 4
Coptotermes formosanus (Formosan subterranean termite)	Copt f 7	Panulirus stimpsoni (Spiny Iobster)	Pan s 1
Crangon crangon (North Sea shrimp)	Cra c 1	Penaeus aztecus (Brown shrimp)	Pen a 1
Crassostrea angulata (Portuguese ovster)	Cra a 1	Penaeus indicus (Shrimp)	Penil
Crassostrea gigas (Pacific oyster)	Cra g 1	Penaeus monodon (Black tiger shrimp)	Pen m 1
Dermatophagoides farinae (American house dust mite)	Der f 10	Periplaneta americana (American cockroach)	Per a 7
Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus (European house dust mite)	Der p 10	Portunus pelagicus (Blue swimmer crab)	Por p 1
Exopalaemon modestus (White legged freshwater shrimp)	Exo m 1	Procambarus clarkii (Red swamp crayfish)	Pro c 1
Haliotis laevigata x Haliotis rubra (Jade tiger abaolone)	Hall 1	Saccostrea glomerata (Sydney rock oyster)	Sac g 1
Helix aspersa [Cornu aspersum] (Brown garden snail)	Hel as 1	Salmo salar (Atlantic salmon)	Sal s 4
Homarus americanus (American lobster)	Hom a 1	Scylla paramamosain (Mud crab)	Scy p 1
Lepidoglyphus destructor (Storage mite)	Lep d 10	Todarodes pacificus (Japanese flying squid)	Tod p 1
	<u> </u>	Tyrophagus putrescentiae (Storage mite)	Турр10

Tropomyosin C05

List of clinically relevant tropomyosins

Allergen source	Allergen	Allergen source	Allergen
Brown shrimp (Penaeus aztecus)	Pen a 1	Japanese flying squid (Todarodes pacificus)	Tod p 1
Northern Red Shrimp (Pandalus borealis)	Pan b 1	Pacific cupped oyster (Crassostrea gigas)	Cra g 1
Giant tiger prawn (Pengeus monodon)	Pen m 1	Abalone (Haliotis diversicolor)	Hal d 1
European Shrimp	Lit v 1	House dust mite (Dermatophagoides farinae)	Der f 10
Common Shrimp (Crangon Crangon)	Cra c 1	House dust mite (Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus)	Der p 10
Spiny lobster (Panulirus stimpsoni)	Pan s 1	Storage mite (Blomia tropicalis)	Blo † 10
Common crab (Charybdis feriatus)	Cha f 1	American cockroach (Periplaneta americana)	Per a 7
Blue swimmer crab (Portunus pelagicus)	Por p 1	German cockroach (Blattella germanica)	Bla g 7
Brown garden snail (<i>Helix aspersa</i>)	Hel as 1	Anisakis (Anisakis simplex)	Ani s 3
Green mussel (Perna viridis)	Per v 1	Roundworm (Ascaris lumbricoides)	Asc 3
Common Octopus (Octopus vulgaris)	Oct v 1		1



[Figure 1] – Cross-reactivity among allergenic tropomyosins from several sources. Lines represent documented IgE-cross-reactivity, dotted lines represent potential IgE cross-reactivity based on high sequence identities.



Diagnostic algorithm for shellfish allergy. In vitro tests for IgE to molecular allergens (CRD) include Pen a 1, Pen m 2, and Pen m 4.





Polcacins C06

Key points:

- · Polcalcins are EF-hand calcium-binding proteins.
- There is an extensive cross-reactivity of IgE between pollen polcalcins, but they are not present in plant foods.
- The most representative pollen polcalcin and the first cloned is PhI p 7 from Phleum pratense (timothy grass).

Tips for molecular diagnosis

- Specific IgE testing to pollen polcalcins can be performed with any member of the family.
- Polcalcins can be considered as markers of polysensitization with unknown clinical relevance for respiratory symptoms.
- · Double positivity to polcalcin and profilin has been associated to real polysensitization and disease evolution and consequently bad clinical prognosis.

Table of available components to detect IgE sensitization to polcalcins

Allergen source	Allergen
Birch, Betula verrucosa	Bet v 4
Timothy, Phleum pratense	Phl p 7
Alder, Alnus glutinosa	Aln g 4

Prevalence of polcalcin sensitization in patients with pollen allergy.

Main sensitisation of the population studied	Prevalence of sensitisation to Polcalcin
Birch	Bet v 4: 5%
Chenopodium/Salsola	Che a 3: 46%; Che a 3: 41%
Olive	Ole e 3: 20-30%
Grass	Phl p 7: 2-10%
Alder	Aln g 4: 18%
Robinia pseudoacacia	Che a 3: 33%
Ash	Fra a 3: 16%
Cypress	Cup a 4:10%
Polysensitized to pollen	Polcalcin: 31%
Birch, ash, mugwort, grass	Polcalcin: 10%





[Figure 1] Cross-reactivity among polcalcins from different allergenic sources.



[Figure 2] Scheme to follow in case of polysensitization to pollen. Added value of the use of specific IgE to species-specific pollen allergens and to panallergens.



Lipocalins **C07**

Key points:

- · Conserved tertiary structure with low sequence identity among family members.
- · Airborne, easily spreading into the indoor environment.
- · Sensitisation to multiple components is associated with disease severity.
- Risk factor for respiratory symptoms and asthma.
- · Cross-reactive subgroup with high sequence identity.

Tips for molecular diagnosis

At the current state of the art, Fel d 1, Fel d 7, Can f 1, Can f 2, Can f 4, and Can f 5 are commercially available species-specific markers of sensitisation, although sensitisation to Can f 1 is not a specific dog marker in case of co-sensitisation to cat (see also chapter B06).

Table of available lipocalin components for IgE-diagnosis of allergy related to animal dander or milk

Allergen source	Specific lipocalin marker allergens	Lipocalin allergens with moderate cross-reactivity
Cat		Fel d 4, Fel d 7
Cattle	Bos d 2, Bos d 5	
Dog	Can f 2, Can f 4	Can f 1, Can f 6
Guinea-pig	Cav p 1	
Golden hamster	Mes a 1	
Dwarf hamster	Phod s 1	
Horse		Equ c 1
Mouse		Mus m 1
Rabbit	Ory c 1, Ory c 2	

Lipocalins are major allergens in different furry pets and are present in dander, saliva, and urine. All mammalian lipocalin allergens are respiratory allergens, except the β-lactoglobulins (e.g., Bos d 5), which are present in milk and classified as food allergens.









[Figure 1] Cross-reactivities among allergenic lipocalins. Solid lines represent documented lgE cross-reactivity. Dotted lines represent potential IgE cross-reactivity based on high sequence identities. Allergens depicted in the outer circle (white font) show overall low sequence identities with other family members and are candidates for species-specific marker allergens, but their cross-reactive potential still needs to be investigated.

Diagnostic algorithms for the component-resolved diagnosis of allergy to cat, dog, or horse.



[Figure 2] - Single allergens are of added value to identify the primary sensitisation source in the case of a positive IgE-test to cat dander.

C

Lipocalins **C**07



Primary sensitisation to horse

[Figure 3] – Single allergens are of added value to identify the primary sensitisation source in the case of a positive IgE-test to dog dander.



[Figure 4] - Single allergens are of added value to identify the primary sensitisation source in the case of a positive IgE-test to horse dander.

0

CO8 Seed storage proteins

Key points:

- Seed storage proteins (2S albumins, 7S globulins and 11S globulins) are marker allergens for clinically relevant sensitizations to legumes, tree nuts and seeds.
- IgE-sensitization is associated with a high risk of developing an allergic reaction upon ingestion, from mild (e.g., oral itching) to anaphylaxis.
- IgE cross-reactivity usually occurs between members of the same protein family, mainly between allergens from related plants that have high protein sequence identities. However, it may also occur between allergens from different families of seed storage proteins.
- The clinical relevance of IgE co-sensitization and the impact of cross-reactivity are largely unknown, and more research is still needed.

Tips for molecular diagnosis

2S albumins

- Major allergens in peanut, seeds and tree nuts such as hazelnut, walnut and cashew nut.
- Marker allergens for clinically relevant sensitisations to peanut, seeds, and tree nuts.
- High risk of cross-reactivity between walnut and pecan nut or between cashew nut and pistachio.
- IgE specific for these allergens may elicit severe symptoms.

7S globulins (vicilins)

- Major allergens of legumes such as soy, pea, lentil, and lupine.
- · Potential marker allergens for clinically relevant sensitisations to legumes.
- Risk of cross-reactivity between peanuts and peas or lupine.
- Risk of cross-reactivity between peas and lentils.



11S globulins (legumins)

- Major allergens in hazelnut and almond.
- Marker allergens for clinically relevant sensitisations to hazelnuts and almonds.
- IgE specific for these allergens may elicit severe symptoms.

Table of available allergens for IgE-diagnosis of allergy to seed storage proteins

Allergen source	Allergen
Cashew nut, Anacardium occidentale	rAna o 2 (11S globulin), rAna o 3 (2S albumin)
Brazil nut, Bertholletia excelsa	rBer e 1 (2S albumin)
Hazelnut, Corylus avellana	nCor a 9 (11S globulin), rCor a 14 (2S albumin)
Walnut, Juglans regia	rJug r 1 (2S albumin)
Sesame seed, Sesamum indicum	rSes i 1 (2S albumin)
Peanut, Arachis hypogaea	rAra h 1 (75 globulin), rAra h 2 (25 albumin), rAra h 3 (115 globulin), rAra h 6 (25 albumin)
Soybean, Glycine max	nGly m 5 (B-conglycinin), nGly m 6 (Glycinin)
Buckwheat, Fagopyrum esculentum	nFag e 2 (2S albumin)

Seed storage proteins **CO8**



In vitro cross-reactivity between 2S albumins from

tree nuts and legumes. Strong cross-reactivity has been shown for walnut and pecan nut, cashew and pistachio, and for hazelnut and walnut. Cross-reactivity only confirmed in vitro and limited knowledge regarding clinical relevance is indicated with a grey arrow.



In vitro cross-reactivity between 7S globulins from tree nuts, seeds and legumes. Strong cross-reactivity has been shown for cashew and pistachio (black arrow). Cross-

reactivity only confirmed in vitro and limited knowledge regarding clinical relevance is indicated with a grey arrow.



In vitro cross-reactivity between 11S globulins from

tree nuts and seeds. Strong cross-reactivity has been shown for hazelnut and walnut (black arrow). Cross-reactivity only confirmed in vitro and limited knowledge regarding clinical relevance is indicated with a grey arrow. **C08** Seed storage proteins

Distinguishing between **primary and secondary sensitisation** to legumes, tree nuts, and seeds using molecular allergy diagnostics in routine care.



Gibberellin-regulated proteins **C09**

Key points:

- Gibberellin-regulated proteins (GRPs) are cationic, small, nonglycosylated monomeric proteins, resistant to proteolysis and heat, with anti-microbial activity, present in plant foods and pollen.
- GRPs are cross-reactive and involved in pollen food allergy syndromes, but they may also induce severe systemic reactions, without or with cofactors.
- Main fruits involved: peach and citrus but also pomegranate, cherry or apricot. *Cupressaceae* is, currently, the only tree family shown to express allergenic pollen GRPs.
- In areas of high cypress pollen exposure (as Japan), GRPs are involved in pollen/fruit allergy syndromes.

Tips for molecular diagnosis

• The recombinant Pru p 7-specific IgE test may support the diagnosis, although a positive GRP immunoassay may not be associated with a clinically pertinent IgE reactivity. It is commercially available in singleplex and multiplex assays.

Table reporting allergenic GRPs (*) and the prototype GRP Snakin-1 (Snakin-1 is not yet described as an allergen)

Protein	English name	Latin name	Family	Exposure
Cup s 7*	Commom cypress	Cupressus sempervirens	Cupressaceae	Pollen
Jun a 7*	Mountain cedar	Juniperus ashei	Cupressaceae	Pollen
Cry j 7*	Japanese cedar	Cryptomeria japonica	Cupressaceae	Pollen
Pru p 7*	Peach	Prunus persica	Rosaceae	Food
Pru m 7*	Japanese apricot	Prunus mume	Rosaceae	Food
Pru av 7*	Sweet cherry	Prunus avium	Rosaceae	Food
Cit s 7*	Sweet orange	Citrus sinensis	Rutaceae	Food
Pun g 7*	Pomegranate	Punica granatum	Lythraceae	Food
Cap a 7*	Bell Pepper	Capsicum annuum	Solanaceae	Food
Snakin-1	Potato	Solanum tuberosum	Solanaceae	



CUPRESSACEAE



Gibberellin-regulated proteins **C09**



[Figure 2] Diagnostic algorithm to assess GRP sensitisation



Key points:

- Oleosins are lipophilic, resistant to enzymatic and thermal processing, and have a central hydrophobic domain flanked on each side by relatively hydrophilic domains.
- Oleosins are associated with severe allergic reactions.
- Oleosins are potential marker allergens for allergy severity following the consumption of peanuts or hazelnuts.
- Oleosins are underrepresented in aqueous extractbased in vivo- and in vitro- standard diagnostic tests.
- An increase of allergenicity has been recorded for hazelnut and peanut oleosins after roasting when compared to raw seeds.

Tips for molecular diagnosis

• Until recently, the lipophilic properties of this family of proteins have hindered the development of reliable diagnostic reagents for oleosins, both single and multiplex-arraybased. There is now information on recombinant oleosins being used in arrays, that were engineered to be soluble in aqueous solutions.

lens

Oleosins according to the WHO/IUIS Allergen Nomenclature Sub-Committee designation

Botanical family	Allergen source	Allergen	Molecular weight (kDa)
Fabales	Peanut (Arachis hypogaea)	Ara h 10	16
		Ara h 11	14
		Ara h 14	17.5
		Ara h 15	17
Fagales	Hazelnut (Corylus avellana)	Cor a 12	17
		Cor a 13	14-16
		Cor a 15	17
Lamiales	Sesame (Sesamum indicum)	Ses i 4	17
		Ses i 5	15
Caryophyllales	Tartarian buckwheat (Fagopyrum tataricum)	Fag†6	18



Diagnostic algorithm to assess oleosin sensitisation



Oleosins are not yet available for routine allergy diagnostic tests, only for immunoblots in research laboratories C



Key points:

- Parvalbumins are fish panallergens; they are ingestion, respiratory and contact allergens.
- · Clinical cross-reactivity is based on the presence of highly conserved IgE epitopes.
- Parvalbumins retain IgE-binding properties upon food processing.

Tips for molecular diagnosis

- · Parvalbumin is a specific marker for fish allergy.
- Sensitization to multiple fish parvalbumins is associated with cross-reactive fish allergy.
- Monosensitization to a single parvalbumin (e.g., Sal s 1) points to a selective fish allergy.



Table of available components for IgE-diagnosis of allergy to fishes

English name (Species)	Allergenic molecule	Biochemical name
Herring (Clupea harengus)	Clu h 1	β-parvalbumin
Carp (Cyprinus carpio)	Сурс1	β-parvalbumin
Atlantic cod (Gadus callarias)	Gad c 1	β-parvalbumin
Atlantic cod (Gadus morhua)	Gad m 1	β-parvalbumin
Tuna (Thunnus albacares)	Thu a 1	β-parvalbumin
Atlantic mackerel (Scomber scombrus)	Sco s 1	β-parvalbumin
Swordfish (Xiphias gladius)	Xip g 1	β-parvalbumin
Salmon (Salmo salar)	Sal s 1	β-parvalbumin
Thornback ray (<i>Raja clavata</i>)	Raj c PV	α-parvalbumin

Parvalbumins C11

Cross-reactivity scheme and clinical algorithm:

Allergenic parvalbumins belong to two different evolutionary lineages, alpha- and betaparvalbumins. While beta-parvalbumins are potent allergens (e.g., bony fish), alphaparvalbumins are better tolerated (e.g., cartilaginous fish). The cross-reactivity within betaparvalbumins is high but is lower between alpha- and beta-parvalbumins.

The Figure below represents documented and putative cross-reactivities among known fish and non-fish parvalbumins. During the past decade, new fish allergens have been characterized, beyond parvalbumins (see chapter B12 `Fish allergy'). Fish-allergic patients may cross-react with frog, chicken or crocodile meat due to parvalbumin-specific IgE. However, parvalbumins from such sources are not available yet for diagnostic testing.



[Figure 1] Cross-reactivities among allergenic fish and non-fish parvalbumins.

All parvalbumins have a high potential for cross-reactivity based on high sequence homology. Lines represent documented IgE-cross-reactivity. Clockwise: Atlantic cod, Atlantic mackerel, megrim, common sole, Atlantic salmon, rainbow trout, Atlantic hake, crocodile, chicken, edible frog, Alaska Pollock, yellowfin tuna, common carp, Atlantic herring.



With the advent of novel IgE-multiplexing platforms, the testing of sensitization to parvalbumins from distantly related bony fish as well as cartilaginous fish such as ray, is possible. Indeed, if this IgE-testing in parvalbumin-positive patients is negative as in Figure 2, there is a high probability that ray will be tolerated, which needs to be confirmed by oral provocation.



[Figure 2] - Added value of the use of single allergens in the case of a positive IgE test to cod extract.



Nathalie Acevedo

Institute for Immunological Research. University of Cartagena, Cartagena, Colombia

Lorenz Aglas

Department of Biosciences, University of Salzburg, 5020 Salzburg, Austria

Karla L Arruda

Department of Medicine, Ribeirão Preto Medical School, University of São Paulo, Ribeirão Preto, SP, Brazil

Riccardo Asero

Ambulatorio di Allergologia, Clinica San Carlo, Paderno Dugnano, Italy

Barbara Ballmer-Weber

Klinik für Dermatologie und Allergologie, Kantonsspital St. Gallen, St. Gallen, Switzerland Department of Dermatology, University Hospital Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

Domingo Barber

Institute of Applied Molecular Medicine Nemesio Diez (IMMA), Department of Basic Medical Sciences, Facultad de Medicina, Universidad San Pablo CEU, CEU Universities, Madrid, Spain RETIC ARADYAL and RICORS Enfermedades Inflamatorias (REI)

Kirsten Beyer

Department of Pediatric Respiratory Medicine, Immunology and Critical Care Medicine, Charité Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Berlin, Germany

Tilo Biedermann

Klinikum rechts der Isar der Technischen Universität München, Klinik und Poliklinik für Dermatologie und Allergologie, Munich, Germany

M. Beatrice Biló

Department of Clinical and Molecular Sciences, Università Politecnica delle Marche, Ancona - Italy Allergy Unit - Department of Internal Medicine, University Hospital Ospedali Riuniti di Ancona - Italy

Simon Blank

Center of Allergy and Environment (ZAUM), Technical University of Munich, School of Medicine and Helmholtz Center Munich, German Research Center for Environmental Health, Munich, Germany

Philipp P Bosshard

Department of Dermatology, University Hospital Zurich (USZ), University of Zurich (UZH), Zurich, Switzerland Children's Allergy Service, Evelina London Children's Hospital. Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust

Heimo Breiteneder

Department of Pathophysiology and Allergy Research, Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria

Helen A Brough

Paediatric Allergy Group, Department Women and Children's Health, School of Life Course Sciences, King's College London, London, UK

Merima Bublin

Department of Pathophysiology and Allergy Research, Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria

Dianne Campbell

Department of Allergy and Immunology, Children's Hospital at Westmead, Sydney Children's Hospitals Network, New South Wales, Australia Child and Adolescent Health, Faculty of Medicine and Health, University of Sydney, New South Wales, Australia

Luis Caraballo

Institute for Immunological Research, University of Cartagena. Cartagena de Indias, Colombia

Riccardo Castagnoli

Pediatric Unit, Department of Clinical, Surgical, Diagnostic, and Pediatric Sciences, University of Pavia, Pavia, Italy. Pediatric Clinic, Fondazione IRCCS Policlinico San Matteo, Pavia, Italy

Jean Christoph Caubet

Pediatric Allergy Unit, Department of Child and Adolescent, University Hospitals of Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland

Giorgio Celi

Centro DH Allergologia e Immunologia Clinica ASST-MANTOVA (MN), Italy

Maksymilian Chruszcz

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC 29208, USA

Rebecca Czolk

Department of Infection & Immunity, Luxembourg Institute of Health, Esch-sur-Alzette, Luxembourg Faculty of Science, Technology and Medicine, University of Luxembourg, Esch-sur-Alzette, Luxembourg

Janet Davies

Queensland University of Technology, Centre for Immunology and Infection Control, School of Biomedical Sciences, Herston, Queensland Australia Metro North Hospital and Health Service, Emergency Operations Centre, Herston, Queensland Australia

Leticia de las Vecillas

Department of Allergy, La Paz University Hospital, IdiPAZ, Madrid, Spain

Stephanie Dramburg

Department of Pediatric Respiratory Medicine, Immunology and Critical Care Medicine, Charité Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Berlin, Germany

Bernadette Eberlein

Department of Dermatology and Allergy Biederstein, School of Medicine, Technical University Munich, Munich, Germany

Motohiro Ebisawa

Clinical Research Center for Allergy and Rheumatology, National Hospital Organization, Sagamihara National Hospital, Sagamihara, Kanagawa, Japan

Anna Ehlers

Chemical Biology and Drug Discovery, Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands Center for Translational Immunology, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands Department of Dermatology/Allergology, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands

Philippe Eigenmann

Pediatric Allergy Unit, Department of Child and Adolescent, University Hospitals of Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland.

Gabriele Gadermaier

Department of Biosciences and Medical Biology, Paris Lodron University Salzburg, Salzburg, Austria

Mattia Giovannini

Allergy Unit, Department of Pediatrics, Meyer Children's University Hospital, Florence, Italy

Francisca Gomez

Allergy Unit IBIMA-Hospital Regional Universitario de Malaga and Spanish Network for Allergy research ARADyAL. Malaga, Spain Spanish Network for Allergy - RETICS de Asma, Reaccionesadversas y Alérgicas (ARADyAL), Madrid, Spain

Rebecca Grohman

NYU Langone Health, Department of Internal Medicine, New York, NY, USA

Carole Guillet

Allergy Unit, Department of Dermatology, University Hospital Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland Faculty of Medicine, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

Christine Hafner

Department of Dermatology, University Hospital St. Poelten, Karl Landsteiner University of Health Sciences, St. Poelten, Austria

Michael Hauser

Department of Biosciences, Paris Lodron University Salzburg, Salzburg, Austria

Thomas Hawranek

Department of Dermatology and Allergology, Paracelsus Private Medical University, Salzburg, Austria

Christiane Hilger

Department of Infection & Immunity, Luxembourg Institute of Health, Esch-sur-Alzette, Luxembourg

Hans Jürgen Hoffmann

Institute for Clinical Medicine, Faculty of Health, Aarhus University, Denmark Department of Respiratory Diseases and Allergy, Aarhus University Hospital, Denmark

Karin Hoffmann-Sommergruber

Department of Pathophysiology and Allergy Research, Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria

Tomona lizuka

Laboratory of Protein Science, Graduate School of Life Science, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan

Alain Jacquet

Department of Biochemistry, Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand

Thilo Jakob

Experimental Dermatology and Allergy Research Group, Department of Dermatology and Allergology, Justus-Liebig-University Gießen, Gießen, Germany

Bente Janssen-Weets

Department of Infection & Immunity, Luxembourg Institute of Health, Esch-sur-Alzette, Luxembourg Department of Dermatology and Allergy Center, Odense Research Center for Anaphylaxis, University of Southern Denmark, Odense, Denmark

Uta Jappe

Division of Clinical and Molecular Allergology, Priority Research Area Asthma and Allergy, Research Center Borstel, Leibniz Lung Center, Airway Research Center North (ARCN), Member of the German Center for Lung Research, Germany Interdisciplinary Allergy Outpatient Clinic, Dept. of Pneumology, University of Lübeck, Lübeck, Germany

Tanja Kalic

Department of Dermatology, University Hospital St. Poelten, Karl Landsteiner University of Health Sciences, St. Poelten, Austria Department of Pathophysiology and Allergy Research, Center for Pathophysiology, Infectiology and Immunology, Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria

Sandip Kamath

Australian Institute of Tropical Health and Medicine, James Cook University, Townsville, Queensland, Australia Molecular Allergy Research Laboratory, College of Public Health, Medical and Veterinary Sciences, James Cook University, Queensland, Australia

Sabine Kespohl

Department of Allergology/Immunology, Institute for Prevention and Occupational Medicine of the German Social Accident Insurance, Institute of the Ruhr-Universtität Bochum, Bochum, Germany

Jörg Kleine-Tebbe

Allergy & Asthma Center Westend, Outpatient Clinic and Clinical Research Center, Berlin, Germany.

Edward Knol

Department of Immunology and Dermatology/Allergology, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht, the Netherlands

André Knulst

Department of Dermatology/Allergology, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht, The Netherlands

Jon R Konradsen

Department of Women's and Children's Health, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden. Pediatric Allergy and Pulmonology Unit at Astrid Lindgren Children's Hospital, Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden

Annette Kuehn

Department of Infection & Immunity, Luxembourg Institute of Health, Esch-sur-Alzette, Luxembourg Molecular Allergology User's Guide 2.0

Gideon Lack

Paediatric Allergy Group, Department Women and Children's Health, School of Life Course Sciences, King's College London, London, UK Children's Allergy Service, Evelina London Children's Hospital. Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust

Thuy-My Le

Department of Dermatology/ Allergology, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht, The Netherlands Center for Translational Immunology, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands

Andreas Lopata

Molecular Allergy Research Laboratory, College of Public Health, Medical and Veterinary Sciences, Australian Institute of Tropical Health and Medicine; James Cook University, Townsville, QLD, Australia Tropical Futures Institute, James Cook University, Singapore, Singapore

Mäkelä Mika

Division of Allergy, Helsinki University Hospital and University of Helsinki, Finland Pediatric Department, Skin and Allergy Hospital, Helsinki University Central Hospital, Helsinki, Finland.

Alessandro Maria Marra

ASST Rhodense, Ospedale di Garbagnate Milanese, Milan, Italy

Paolo M Matricardi

Department of Pediatric Respiratory Medicine, Immunology and Critical Care Medicine, Charité Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Berlin, Germany

Martine Morisset

Allergy Unit, Angers University Hospital, France

Antonella Muraro

Food Allergy Referral Centre, Department of Woman and Child Health, Padua University Hospital, Padua, Italy

Anna Nowak-Wegrzyn

NYU Grossman School of Medicine, Hassenfeld Children's Hospital, Division of Pediatric Allergy and Immunology, New York, NY, USA Department of Pediatrics, Gastroenterology and Nutrition, Collegium Medicum, University of Warmia and Mazury, Olsztyn, Poland

Roni Nugraha

Department of Aquatic Product Technology, Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Science, IPB University, Indonesia Molecular Allergy Research Lab, College of Public Health, Medical and Veterinary Sciences, James Cook University, Australia

Markus Ollert

Department of Infection and Immunity, Luxembourg Institute of Health, Eschsur-Alzette, Luxembourg. Department of Dermatology and Allergy Center, Odense Research Center for Anaphylaxis, University of Southern Denmark, Odense, Denmark

Kati Palosuo

Department of Allergology, University of Helsinki, Finland Department of Allergology, Helsinki University Hospital and University of Helsinki, Finland

Elide Anna Pastorello

Centro di Allergologia, Casa di Cura Ambrosiana Cesano Boscone, Milan, Italy

Thomas Platts-Mills

Division of Allergy & Clinical Immunology, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, USA

Anna Pomés

Basic Research, InBio, Charlottesville, VA, 22903, USA

Pascal Poncet

Institut Pasteur, Immunology department, Paris, France Armand Trousseau Children Hospital, APHP, Allergy & Environment Research Team, Paris, France

Lars K Poulsen

Allergy Clinic, Department of Dermatology and Allergy, Copenhagen University Hospital-Herlev and Gentofte, Copenhagen, Denmark

Christian Radauer

Department of Pathophysiology and Allergy Research, Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria

Suzana Radulovic

Children's Allergy Service, Evelina London Children's Hospital. Guy's and St Thomas'; NHS Foundation Paediatric Allergy Group, Department Women and Children's Health, School of Life Course Sciences, King's College London, London, UK.Trust

Monika Raulf

Institute for Prevention and Occupational Medicine of the German Social Accident Insurance, Institute of the Ruhr-Universität Bochum (IPA), Bochum Germany

Alexandra F Santos

Department of Women and Children's Health (Pediatric Allergy), School of Life Course Sciences, Faculty of Life Sciences and Medicine, King's College London, London, United Kingdom. Peter Gorer Department of Immunobiology, School of Immunology and Microbial Sciences, King's College London, London, United Kingdom Children's Allergy Service, Evelina London, Guy's and St Thomas' Hospital, London, United Kingdom

Joaquin Sastre

Allergy Service, Fundación Jiménez Díaz; CIBER de Enfermedades Respiratorias (CIBERES); Faculty of Medicine, Universidad Autonoma de Madrid, Spain

Sakura Sato

Clinical Research Center for Allrgy and Rheumatology, National Hospital Organization Sagamihara Nationa Hospital, Kanagawa, Japan

Enrico Scala

Clinical and Laboratory Molecular Allergy Unit - IDI-IRCCS | Fondazione L.M. Monti. Rome, Italy

Johannes M Schmid

Department for Allergy and Respiratory Medicine, Aarhus University Hospital, Denmark

Peter Schmid-Grendelmeier

Allergy Unit, Department of Dermatology, University Hospital Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland Faculty of Medicine, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland Christine Kühne Center for Allergy Research and Education CK-CARE, Davos, Switzerland

Denise Schrama

Centre of Marine Sciences (CCMAR), Universidade do Algarve, Campus de Gambelas, Faro, Portugal Universidade do Algarve, Campus de Gambelas, Faro, Portugal

Hélène Sénéchal

Armand Trousseau Children Hospital, APHP, Allergy & Environment Research Team, Paris, France

Marcela Valverde-Monge

Allergy Service, Fundación Jiménez Díaz, Madrid, Spain CIBER de Enfermedades, Respiratorias (CIBERES), Madrid, Spain

Marianne van Hage

Department of Medicine Solna, Division of Immunology and Allergy, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden Department of Clinical Immunology and Transfusion Medicine, Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden

Ronald van Ree

Department of Experimental Immunology, Amsterdam UMC, location Academic Medical Center (AMC), Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Amsterdam UMC, location AMC, Amsterdam, The Netherlands; Amsterdam Infection and Immunity Institute, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Kitty Verhoeckx

Department of Dermatology/Allergology, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht, The Netherlands

Alma Villaseñor

Centro de Metabolómica y Bioanálisis (CEMBIO), Facultad de Farmacia, Universidad San Pablo-CEU, CEU Universities, Madrid, Spain. RETIC ARADyAL and RICORS Enfermedades Inflamatorias (REI)

Josefina Zakzuk

Institute for Immunological Research, University of Cartagena. Cartagena de Indias, Colombia

EAACI Headquarters

111 Hagenholzstrasse 3rd Floor 8050 Zurich Switzerland

Tel: +41 44 205 55 33 info@eaaci.org

